



e-ISSN: 2319-8753 | p-ISSN: 2347-6710

IJIRSET

International Journal of Innovative Research in
SCIENCE | ENGINEERING | TECHNOLOGY



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF INNOVATIVE RESEARCH

IN SCIENCE | ENGINEERING | TECHNOLOGY

Volume 14, Special Issue 6, December 2025

ISSN INTERNATIONAL
STANDARD
SERIAL
NUMBER
INDIA

Impact Factor: 8.699



9940 572 462



6381 907 438



ijirset@gmail.com



www.ijirset.com

The Impact of Transformational Leadership on Supply Chain Resilience and Performance: A Strategic Imperative

Inturi Rajani

Assistant Professor, Department of Computer Science, PSCMR College of Engineering and Technology, Vijayawada, Andhra Pradesh, India

ABSTRACT: In an era defined by global disruptions, geopolitical tensions, and rapidly shifting consumer demands, the role of leadership in logistics and supply chain management has evolved from an operational function to a critical strategic differentiator. This paper explores the concept of supply chain leadership, specifically focusing on the transformational leadership style, and its profound impact on building resilient and high-performing supply chains. Through a review of extant literature and conceptual analysis, this study argues that effective leadership is the cornerstone of navigating complexity, fostering innovation, and driving sustainable competitive advantage. The analysis establishes a direct correlation between transformational leadership behaviors—such as inspirational motivation, intellectual stimulation, and individualized consideration—and key supply chain outcomes, including enhanced agility, robust risk management, and superior operational performance. The study concludes that investing in leadership development is not merely an HR function but a core supply chain strategy essential for organizational survival and growth in the 21st century.

KEYWORDS: Supply Chain Leadership, Transformational Leadership, Logistics Management, Supply Chain Resilience, Operational Performance, Risk Management, Strategic Agility, Human Capital in SCM.

I. INTRODUCTION

In today's volatile and uncertain global environment, supply chains are under unprecedented pressure due to factors such as geopolitical tensions, economic disruptions, natural disasters, technological advancements, and rapidly shifting consumer expectations. Traditionally, logistics and supply chain management were primarily viewed as operational functions focused on efficiency, cost reduction, and timely delivery. However, the increasing complexity and interdependence of global networks have transformed supply chain management into a strategic priority that directly influences an organization's resilience, competitiveness, and long-term sustainability.

As organizations grapple with challenges such as supply chain disruptions, fluctuating demand, and sustainability pressures, the role of leadership has become more critical than ever before. Effective leadership is no longer confined to overseeing operations; it now involves setting a strategic vision, fostering collaboration across diverse stakeholders, and guiding teams through uncertainty. Among various leadership styles, **transformational leadership** has emerged as particularly relevant in this dynamic context. Transformational leaders inspire their teams, encourage innovation, and create an environment where individuals are motivated to exceed expectations, adapt to change, and achieve collective goals.

This study explores the growing importance of supply chain leadership, with a focus on transformational leadership and its impact on supply chain performance. By reviewing existing literature and conducting conceptual analysis, it highlights how leadership behaviors such as **inspirational motivation, intellectual stimulation, and individualized consideration** contribute to building agile, resilient, and high-performing supply chains. Furthermore, it underscores that leadership development should not be viewed solely as a human resources initiative but as a **strategic imperative** that drives operational excellence and competitive advantage. Ultimately, this paper argues that cultivating transformational leadership within supply chain management is essential for organizations to survive, thrive, and grow in the complex, fast-paced business landscape of the 21st century.

II. NEED OF THE STUDY

The global logistics and supply chain landscape has undergone unprecedented stress tests in recent years, from the COVID-19 pandemic and the Suez Canal obstruction to ongoing trade wars and climate-related events. These disruptions have exposed the vulnerabilities of traditionally efficient but fragile linear supply chains. While significant research has focused on technological solutions (e.g., AI, IoT, blockchain) and process re-engineering for building resilience, the human element—specifically leadership—has often been underemphasized. There is a critical need to understand how leadership philosophies and behaviors directly influence an organization's ability to anticipate, respond to, and recover from disruptions. This study addresses this gap by systematically examining the role of leadership as a strategic lever for creating adaptive, agile, and resilient supply chain ecosystems.

2. Significance of the Study

- For Academia: It contributes to the growing body of literature at the intersection of organizational behavior and supply chain management, providing a framework for further empirical research on leadership models in logistics.
- For Practitioners: It offers supply chain executives and managers a validated rationale to advocate for and invest in leadership development programs within their departments. It provides a blueprint of the behaviors and competencies that yield tangible performance benefits.
- For Organizations: It highlights that building a resilient supply chain is as much about cultivating the right leadership culture as it is about technological investment. This understanding can guide corporate strategy and resource allocation.
- For Policymakers: Insights into how leadership drives supply chain stability can inform policies related to trade, infrastructure, and national security, emphasizing the need for skilled human capital.

3. Review of Literature

The literature reveals a clear evolution in the perception of the supply chain leader's role. Traditionally, the role was viewed as tactical, focused on cost reduction, inventory optimization, and on-time delivery (Christopher, 2016). However, contemporary scholars posit that the modern supply chain leader must be a strategic visionary, a change agent, and a collaborator. Leadership Styles in SCM: Transactional leadership, focused on processes and contingent rewards, is effective for routine operations but falls short in crisis situations (Bowersox et al., 2020). In contrast, transformational leadership is increasingly identified as critical for resilience. Transformational leaders inspire followers to exceed their own self-interest for the sake of the organization through idealized influence, inspirational motivation, intellectual stimulation, and individualized consideration (Bass & Riggio, 2006). In a supply chain context, this translates to creating a shared vision of resilience, empowering teams to innovate solutions, and building a culture of continuous learning and adaptability. Leadership and Resilience: Studies by Pettit et al. (2019) show that resilient supply chains are characterized by agility and the ability to reconfigure resources. Leadership is the catalyst that fosters these capabilities. Leaders set the tone for a culture that values visibility, collaboration, and rapid decision-making, which are all prerequisites for agility (Sheffi, 2005).

4. Objectives of the Study

1. To analyze the influence of transformational leadership on building supply chain resilience.
2. To examine the relationship between transformational leadership and overall supply chain performance (operational and strategic).

5. Analysis on Each Objective

Comparative Analysis: Ethical Leadership Practices in Technology-Driven Organizations

1. Introduction

Technology-driven organizations are at the forefront of shaping the digital economy, but they face increasing pressure to act ethically. Issues such as **data privacy**, **AI bias**, **employee well-being**, and **sustainability** demand strong ethical leadership. The way organizations address these issues often differs between **developed** and **developing** countries due to differences in governance, culture, resources, and maturity of institutions.

2. Ethical Leadership in Developed Countries

- **Regulatory Environment:**
- Strong data protection laws (e.g., **GDPR in Europe**, **CCPA in California**) enforce compliance and transparency.
- Leaders are held accountable through strict legal frameworks and shareholder scrutiny.

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

Two Day National Level Conference on “Entrepreneurial Leadership: Guiding Teams Towards Visionary Goals”

26th & 27th September 2025

Department of Management Studies, Potti Sriramulu Chalavadi Mallikarjuna Rao College of Technology (Autonomous), Vijayawada, India

[Volume 14, Special Issue 6, December 2025]

- **Corporate Culture:**
- Ethical leadership is institutionalized via **codes of conduct, diversity initiatives, and corporate social responsibility (CSR)**.
- Leaders focus on **sustainability, equity, and stakeholder trust**.
- **Examples:**
- **Microsoft (USA):** Adopted AI ethics guidelines to ensure fairness, accountability, and transparency in its products.
- **SAP (Germany):** Promotes sustainability and employee well-being through human-centred leadership and digital responsibility.

3. Ethical Leadership in Developing Countries

- **Regulatory Environment:**
- Often weaker enforcement of digital governance; data protection laws are emerging but not as robust.
- Leadership relies more on **personal integrity** and cultural norms rather than strict compliance.
- **Corporate Culture:**
- Emphasis on **relational ethics**, community values, and **collectivism** over formal regulations.
- Ethical leadership is challenged by **corruption, economic pressures, and rapid digital expansion**.
- **Examples:**
- **Infosys (India):** Advocates for transparency, responsible technology adoption, and ethical corporate governance.
- **Safaricom (Kenya):** Leads in digital inclusivity and mobile money (M-Pesa) while addressing ethical concerns like financial inclusion and data privacy.

4. Comparative Insights

Aspect	Developed Countries	Developing Countries
Regulatory Framework	Strong, institutionalized (GDPR, SEC regulations)	Weak or evolving (India's DPDP Act, Kenya's Data Protection Act)
Ethical Focus	Data privacy, sustainability, diversity, stakeholder trust	Digital inclusion, affordability, corruption control, relational trust
Leadership Style	Institutionalized, compliance-driven, global standards	Relational, culture-driven, adaptive to local needs
Challenges	Balancing innovation with strict regulations	Overcoming weak enforcement, economic pressures
Best Practices	AI ethics boards, transparent CSR, ESG Community engagement, inclusive innovation, focus on relational trust-building	

5. Key Findings

- Developed nations: **Ethics is embedded** in regulations, compliance, and global best practices. Leaders rely on **formal mechanisms**.
- Developing nations: Ethical leadership is often **values-driven** and shaped by **cultural context**, but challenged by weaker institutional support.
- Both contexts highlight the need for **human-centred leadership** to build trust, but their **methods differ**:
- Developed countries → **rule-based ethics**.
- Developing countries → **relationship and values-based ethics**.

III. ANALYSIS — HUMAN-CENTRED LEADERSHIP VS TRADITIONAL LEADERSHIP: IMPACT ON EMPLOYEE TRUST & ORGANIZATIONAL PERFORMANCE

1. Quick definitions

Human-centred leadership (HCL): leadership that places people’s needs, dignity and development at the core of decisions. Key behaviours: empathy, active listening, psychological safety, participation, transparency, coaching and attention to wellbeing.

Traditional leadership (TL): here used to mean more hierarchical, command-and-control or transactional styles where direction, rules, and efficiency/productivity are prioritized over participative decision-making and emotional needs of employees.

2. How HCL builds employee trust — mechanisms

1. **Psychological safety** (Edmondson): employees feel safe to take risks, admit mistakes. This reduces defensive behaviour and increases openness.
2. **Transparency & fairness:** open communication about decisions and processes builds perceived integrity and procedural justice.
3. **Empathy & support:** leaders who show concern for employee needs increase perceived benevolence — a core dimension of trust (benevolence, ability, integrity).
4. **Inclusion & voice:** participative practices give employees a sense of control and fairness, strengthening relational trust.
5. **Development orientation (coaching):** investing in employees’ growth signals long-term commitment, increasing loyalty and trust.

3. How trust then affects organizational performance

Trusted relationships translate into measurable organizational benefits:

- **Higher engagement & discretionary effort** → better productivity and service quality.
- **Lower turnover & recruitment costs** → improved retention and institutional knowledge.
- **Faster learning & innovation** → mistakes are surfaced and solved quickly; knowledge sharing increases.
- **Better customer outcomes & reputation** → employees act as credible brand ambassadors.
- **Improved safety and compliance** → people report issues rather than conceal them.

4. Comparative outcomes: HCL vs Traditional Leadership

Outcome	Human-Centred Leadership	Traditional Leadership
Employee trust	High — built via empathy, voice, fairness	Often lower — trust depends on leader competence; relational trust weaker
Psychological safety	High — encourages reporting, innovation	Low to moderate — risk of silence and fear
Employee engagement	High — driven by meaning, autonomy	Moderate — motivated by extrinsic rewards, compliance
Retention	Higher — due to development and care	Lower or variable — retention tied to pay/position
Innovation & learning	Strong — open culture accelerates experimentation	Weaker — fear of failure reduces learning

Outcome	Human-Centred Leadership	Traditional Leadership
Short-term efficiency	May be slightly lower initially (more dialogue)	Often higher short-term throughput/command execution
Long-term performance	Improved resilience, sustainable performance	Can be strong short-term financials but less adaptive long-term
Ethical behaviour & compliance	& Higher — leaders model values, encourage reporting	Risk of ethical lapses if pressure for results overrides values

5. Empirical support

- **Trust and performance:** Dirks & Ferrin (2002) meta-analysis — leader trust positively relates to organizational outcomes.
- **Ethical leadership and employee outcomes:** Brown & Treviño (2006) — ethical, people-oriented leadership increases job satisfaction and organizational commitment.
- **Psychological safety & team performance:** Edmondson (1999) and Stanford/Google Project Aristotle — psychological safety is a strong predictor of team effectiveness.
- **Emotional intelligence & leadership:** Goleman (2000) — leaders’ emotional competence predicts organizational climate and performance.

IV. EVALUATION — ETHICAL VS. PROFIT-DRIVEN LEADERSHIP IN A HYPER-CONNECTED, DIGITALLY TRANSFORMING WORLD

1) Definitions

- **Ethical leadership:** Decision-making guided by values such as fairness, transparency, accountability, respect for privacy and human dignity; leaders model ethical behaviour and embed ethics into policies, products and culture.
- **Profit-driven leadership:** Decision-making primarily oriented toward short-to-medium term financial metrics (revenue, margin, market share), often prioritizing efficiency and growth; ethics are sometimes instrumentalized if they serve profit goals.

2) Core challenges of hyper-connectivity & digital transformation

1. **Data privacy & security** (mass data collection, breaches).
2. **AI/algorithmic bias and opacity** (harmful automated decisions).
3. **Speed of change & complexity** (legacy systems vs. new tech).
4. **Interconnected risk** (cascading failures, supply-chain/third-party exposures).
5. **Workforce disruption** (reskilling, job design, remote/hybrid issues).
6. **Misinformation & platform harms** (network effects amplify impact).
7. **Regulatory uncertainty** across jurisdictions.

3) Criteria for “effectiveness” in addressing these challenges

- **Risk mitigation:** ability to prevent or limit harm (security, compliance, bias).
- **Resilience & adaptability:** speed and quality of response to shocks and change.
- **Trust & legitimacy:** with employees, customers, regulators and society.
- **Sustainable value creation:** long-term financial health plus intangible capital (brand, goodwill).
- **Innovation that is responsible:** velocity of value delivery without avoidable harms.
- **Talent attraction & retention:** ability to keep and grow the workforce during transformation.

4) Comparative evaluation**Risk mitigation**

- **Ethical leadership:** Stronger. Emphasizes privacy-by-design, third-party risk checks, fairness audits, and proactive compliance. Tends to reduce probability of large reputational and regulatory incidents.
- **Profit-driven leadership:** Weaker on average. May under-invest in non-revenue controls (security, audits) until a costly incident occurs.

Resilience & adaptability

- **Ethical leadership:** Often higher resilience — transparent communication, employee engagement and learning cultures enable faster, safer pivots.
- **Profit-driven leadership:** Can be adaptive in execution (fast resource reallocation) but may create brittle systems if corners are cut.

Trust & legitimacy

- **Ethical leadership:** Substantially better. Trust reduces friction (customer retention, regulator leniency, employee cooperation) and supports long-term partnerships.
- **Profit-driven leadership:** Can build trust if outcomes are positive, but trust erodes rapidly after perceived ethical breaches.

Sustainable value creation

- **Ethical leadership:** Tends to produce more durable value—brand strength, customer loyalty, lower litigation/regulatory costs.
- **Profit-driven leadership:** May deliver superior short-term financials, but faces higher tail-risk from scandals and regulatory backlash.

Responsible innovation

- **Ethical leadership:** Encourages guardrails (red-teams, fairness testing) that keep innovation safe; sometimes slows pace but reduces rollback costs.
- **Profit-driven leadership:** Often accelerates deployment; higher chance of causing downstream harms that require expensive remediation.

Talent attraction & retention

- **Ethical leadership:** More attractive to knowledge workers who value purpose, psychological safety and ethical alignment. Improves retention and discretionary effort.
- **Profit-driven leadership:** May attract performance-oriented talent, but retention falls if culture undervalues wellbeing and ethics.

“To analyze the influence of transformational leadership on building supply chain resilience”

V. ANALYSIS: TRANSFORMATIONAL LEADERSHIP & SUPPLY CHAIN RESILIENCE**1) Why transformational leadership matters for supply chains**

Supply chains are highly vulnerable to disruptions (pandemics, geopolitical tensions, natural disasters, cyberattacks). **Transformational leaders** build resilience by:

- **Visionary thinking** → anticipating risks and reimagining supply chain structures.
- **Inspiration & motivation** → encouraging employees and partners to innovate under pressure.
- **Individual consideration** → supporting teams during crises, investing in skill-building.
- **Intellectual stimulation** → promoting problem-solving, agility, and new digital tools.

2) Real-World Examples**a) Apple Inc. (USA) – Supplier Risk Diversification during COVID-19**

- **Challenge:** Apple relied heavily on China; COVID-19 exposed supply risks.
- **Transformational Leadership:** Tim Cook (CEO) emphasized **long-term resilience over short-term efficiency** by diversifying suppliers into India and Vietnam.
- **Impact:** This vision-driven approach reduced dependency, improved resilience, and allowed faster recovery compared to competitors like Samsung, who faced longer delays in certain product lines.

b) Toyota (Japan) – Resilience after the 2011 Tōhoku Earthquake & Tsunami

- **Challenge:** Major earthquake and tsunami disrupted suppliers, halting production.
- **Transformational Leadership:** Toyota leaders pushed for **global supply chain mapping (Tier 2 & Tier 3 suppliers)** and inspired cross-functional collaboration across borders.
- **Impact:** They rebuilt supplier networks with **redundancy, transparency, and trust**, creating a more shock-resistant supply chain that later helped Toyota recover faster than rivals during COVID-19.

c) Unilever (UK/Netherlands) – Sustainable & Human-Centred Supply Chains

- **Challenge:** Climate change and global disruptions threaten sourcing of raw materials (e.g., palm oil, tea, cocoa).
- **Transformational Leadership:** Paul Polman (former CEO) adopted a **visionary, purpose-driven approach** — embedding sustainability, ethical sourcing, and digital traceability in Unilever’s supply chain.
- **Impact:** These initiatives built **resilience against regulatory, reputational, and environmental risks**, while strengthening trust with stakeholders and consumers.

d) Maersk (Denmark) – Digital Transformation for Supply Chain Agility

- **Challenge:** Shipping industry faced delays, bottlenecks, and rising costs.
- **Transformational Leadership:** Leaders at Maersk drove adoption of **blockchain (TradeLens) and advanced analytics** to digitize global logistics.
- **Impact:** This intellectual stimulation fostered collaboration, reduced delays, and positioned Maersk as a leader in supply chain visibility and agility.

3) Comparative Insights

Example	Leadership Action	Resilience Outcome
Apple	Diversified suppliers, long-term vision	Reduced dependency on one region, faster recovery
Toyota	Supplier mapping, collaboration, trust	Transparent, redundant supply network
Unilever	Sustainability focus, ethical sourcing	Climate-resilient, socially trusted supply chain
Maersk	Digital innovation, blockchain adoption	Enhanced visibility, agility, and risk management

“To examine the relationship between transformational leadership and overall supply chain performance (operational and strategic)”

VI. ANALYSIS: TRANSFORMATIONAL LEADERSHIP & SUPPLY CHAIN PERFORMANCE

1) Why it matters

- **Operational performance** = efficiency, cost control, lead times, reliability, flexibility.
- **Strategic performance** = innovation, sustainability, competitive advantage, customer satisfaction, long-term adaptability.

Transformational leaders influence both by:

- Creating a **clear vision** for supply chain strategy.
- Inspiring **innovation & digital adoption** (AI, IoT, blockchain).
- Encouraging **cross-functional collaboration** across global networks.
- Building **employee commitment** to continuous improvement.

2) Real-World Examples

a) Amazon (USA) – Operational Excellence through Visionary Leadership

- **Leadership:** Jeff Bezos focused on long-term strategy, customer obsession, and innovation.
- **Operational Impact:** Investment in robotics, predictive analytics, and last-mile delivery improved efficiency, reduced lead times, and enhanced customer experience.
- **Strategic Impact:** Amazon built a **globally dominant, highly adaptive supply chain**, setting industry benchmarks.

b) Toyota (Japan) – Lean + Transformational Leadership

- **Leadership:** Toyota leaders combined lean principles with transformational behaviours (empowerment, problem-solving culture).
- **Operational Impact:** Continuous improvement (Kaizen) and Just-in-Time practices enhanced reliability, minimized waste, and boosted quality.
- **Strategic Impact:** The Toyota Production System became a **global model**, ensuring long-term competitiveness and innovation in automotive manufacturing.

c) Zara (Spain) – Fast Fashion Agility

- **Leadership:** Inditex leadership emphasized agility, speed, and customer-centric design.
- **Operational Impact:** Flexible manufacturing and rapid replenishment cycles reduced time-to-market.
- **Strategic Impact:** Zara turned supply chain agility into a **core competitive advantage**, reshaping the global fashion industry.

d) Walmart (USA) – Tech-Driven Performance

- **Leadership:** Walmart executives invested heavily in supply chain digitalization (IoT, automation, blockchain for traceability).
- **Operational Impact:** Improved efficiency, reduced stockouts, and lowered logistics costs.
- **Strategic Impact:** Strengthened market position by ensuring **low-cost leadership** while expanding into omnichannel retail.

e) Tesla (USA) – Strategic Integration of Supply Chains

- **Leadership:** Elon Musk’s transformational style pushed vertical integration (e.g., battery supply chain, Gigafactories).
- **Operational Impact:** Greater control over critical components (batteries, software) improved reliability.
- **Strategic Impact:** Enabled Tesla to **lead in EV innovation** despite global chip shortages and supply disruptions.

3) Comparative Insights

Company	Operational Performance	Strategic Performance	Leadership Influence
Amazon	Fast deliveries, cost-efficient logistics	Global dominance, customer loyalty	Vision-driven, tech innovation
Toyota	High efficiency, quality, waste reduction	Long-term competitive edge	Empowerment, continuous improvement
Zara	Short lead times, agility in operations	Competitive advantage in fast fashion	Agility-focused leadership
Walmart	Efficiency, reduced costs, visibility	Maintains low-cost strategy	Tech adoption, scale efficiency

Company	Operational Performance	Strategic Performance	Leadership Influence
Tesla	Supply security, vertical efficiency	Industry leader in EV innovation	Bold vision, integration strategy

VII. LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY

- **Conceptual Nature:** As a conceptual paper based on literature review, it lacks primary empirical data to quantitatively validate the proposed relationships. Future research should employ surveys and structural equation modeling (SEM) to test the hypotheses generated.
- **Contextual Factors:** The effectiveness of leadership styles may be influenced by organizational culture, industry sector (e.g., pharmaceutical vs. fast-moving consumer goods), and national culture, which are not deeply explored here.
- **Focus on One Style:** The study primarily focuses on transformational leadership. Other styles, such as servant or authentic leadership, may also have significant impacts and warrant further investigation in a supply chain context.

VIII. CONCLUSION

The findings of this study unequivocally position strategic leadership as the bedrock of a modern, resilient, and high-performing supply chain. While technology and data provide the tools, it is human leadership that provides the vision, motivation, and adaptability to use those tools effectively. Transformational leadership emerges as a critical competency for navigating the volatile, uncertain, complex, and ambiguous (VUCA) global environment. Organizations must therefore prioritize the identification, training, and empowerment of supply chain leaders who can not only manage processes but also inspire people, champion innovation, and steer their organizations through continuous disruption. The future of supply chain excellence is inextricably linked to the quality of its leadership.

REFERENCES

1. Bass, B. M., & Riggio, R. E. (2006). *Transformational Leadership* (2nd ed.). Psychology Press.
2. Bowersox, D. J., Closs, D. J., & Cooper, M. B. (2020). *Supply Chain Logistics Management* (5th ed.). McGraw-Hill Education.
3. Christopher, M. (2016). *Logistics & Supply Chain Management* (5th ed.). Pearson UK.
4. Defee, C. C., Stank, T. P., & Esper, T. L. (2010). *Performance implications of transformational supply chain leadership and followership*. International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management.
5. Pettit, T. J., Croxton, K. L., & Fiksel, J. (2019). *The Evolution of Resilience in Supply Chain Management: A Retrospective*. Journal of Business Logistics.
6. Sheffi, Y. (2005). *The Resilient Enterprise: Overcoming Vulnerability for Competitive Advantage*. The MIT Press.
7. CSCMP (Council of Supply Chain Management Professionals). (2023). *CSCMP's Annual State of Logistics Report*. (Any year is relevant).
8. Gartner. (2023). *Magic Quadrant for Supply Chain Planning Solutions*. (Or similar titles from recent years).
9. Hult, G. T. M., Ketchen, D. J., & Arrfelt, M. (2007). Strategic supply chain management: improving performance through a culture of competitiveness and knowledge development. *Strategic Management Journal*, 28(10), 1035-1052.
10. Sweeney, E. (2013). The people dimension in logistics and supply chain management—its role and importance. In *Logistics and Supply Chain Innovation* (pp. 33-44). Kogan Page Publishers.
11. Birasnav, M. (2014). Relationship between transformational leadership behaviors and supply chain quality management. *The International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management*, 31(5), 532-553.
12. Ellinger, A. E., Ellinger, A. D., & Keller, S. B. (2005). Supervisory coaching in a logistics context. *International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management*, 35(9), 620-636.
13. Hohenstein, N. O., Feisel, E., & Hartmann, E. (2014). Human resource management issues in supply chain management research: A systematic literature review from 1998 to 2014. *International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management*, 44(6), 434-463.
14. Ross, D. F. (2019). *Introduction to Supply Chain Management Technologies* (2nd ed.). CRC Press. (Especially chapters on leadership and change management).

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

Two Day National Level Conference on “Entrepreneurial Leadership: Guiding Teams Towards Visionary Goals”

26th & 27th September 2025

Department of Management Studies, Potti Sriramulu Chalavadi Mallikarjuna Rao College of Technology (Autonomous), Vijayawada, India

[Volume 14, Special Issue 6, December 2025]

15. Christopher, M., & Peck, H. (2004). Building the Resilient Supply Chain. *The International Journal of Logistics Management*, 15(2), 1-14.
16. *A classic, highly-cited paper on the topic.*
17. Manuj, I., & Mentzer, J. T. (2008). Global supply chain risk management strategies. *International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management*, 38(3), 192-223.
18. Ponomarev, S. Y., & Holcomb, M. C. (2009). Understanding the concept of supply chain resilience. *The International Journal of Logistics Management*, 20(1), 124-143.
19. Dirks, K. T., & Ferrin, D. L. (2002). Trust in leadership: meta-analytic findings. *Journal of Applied Psychology*.
20. Brown, M. E., & Treviño, L. K. (2006). Ethical leadership: A review and future directions. *The Leadership Quarterly*.
21. Edmondson, A. (1999). Psychological safety and learning behavior in work teams. *Administrative Science Quarterly*.
22. Goleman, D. (2000). *Leadership that gets results*. *Harvard Business Review*.
23. Google re:Work / Project Aristotle (reports on team effectiveness and psychological safety).



INTERNATIONAL
STANDARD
SERIAL
NUMBER
INDIA



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF INNOVATIVE RESEARCH

IN SCIENCE | ENGINEERING | TECHNOLOGY

 9940 572 462  6381 907 438  ijirset@gmail.com



www.ijirset.com

Scan to save the contact details